Kusatsu, March 2012

Monique Jeanblanc, Université d'Évry-Val-D'Essonne and Institut Europlace de Finance

Random times with a given Azéma supermartingale

Joint work with S. Song



Financial support from Fédération Bancaire Française

Begin at the beginning, and go on till you come to the end. Then,

Lewis Carroll, Alice's Adventures in Wonderland

Problem

Motivation: In credit risk, in mathematical finance, one works with random times which represent the default time. Many studies are based on the intensity process: starting with a reference filtration \mathbb{F} , the intensity process of τ is the \mathbb{F} predictable increasing process Λ such that

$$\mathbb{1}_{\tau \le t} - \Lambda_{t \land \tau}$$

is a G-martingale, where $\mathcal{G}_t = \bigcap_{\epsilon>0} \mathcal{F}_{t+\epsilon} \lor \sigma(\tau \land (t+\epsilon)).$

Then, the problem is : given Λ , construct a random time τ which admits Λ as intensity.

A classical construction is: assuming that the \mathbb{F} adapted increasing process Λ is continuous, extend the probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ so that there exists a random variable Θ , with exponential law, independent of \mathcal{F}_{∞} and define $\tau := \inf\{t : \Lambda_t \ge \Theta\}.$

Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_t < \Theta | \mathcal{F}_t) = e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Noting that any \mathcal{G}_t measurable random variable Y_t satisfies

$$Y_t 1\!\!1_{\{t < \tau\}} = y_t 1\!\!1_{\{t < \tau\}}$$

where y_t is \mathcal{F}_t adapted, it follows that, for X any integrable \mathcal{F}_T -measurable r.v.,

$$\mathbb{E}(X\mathbb{1}_{\{T<\tau\}}|\mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{t<\tau}\mathbb{E}(Xe^{\Lambda_t-\Lambda_T}|\mathcal{F}_t)$$

A consequence is that $\mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} e^{\Lambda_t}$ is a \mathbb{G} martingale. The martingale property of M is easily obtained.

Moreover, under this construction, one can show that any \mathbb{F} martingale is a \mathbb{G} martingale: this is the so-called immersion hypothesis.

Our goal is to provide other constructions. One starts with noting that, in general,

$$G_t = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

is a supermartingale (called the Azema supermartingale) with multiplicative decomposition $G_t = N_t D_t$, where N is a local martingale and D a decreasing predictable process. Assuming that G does not vanishes, we set $D_t = e^{-\Lambda_t}$. Then, assuming that Λ is continuous, the Doob-Meyer decomposition of G is

$$dG_t = e^{-\Lambda_t} dN_t - Z_t d\Lambda_t = dm_t - dA_t$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{1}_{\tau \le t} - \int_0^{t \land \tau} \frac{dA_s}{Z_s} = \mathbb{1}_{\tau \le t} - \int_0^{t \land \tau} d\Lambda_s$$

is a martingale, hence Λ is the intensity of τ .

Let $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space, Z a supermartingale valued in]0, 1]. Construct, on the canonical extended space $(\Omega \times [0, \infty])$, a probability \mathbb{Q} and a random time τ (it will be the canonical map) such that

- 1. restriction condition $\mathbb{Q}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty}} = \mathbb{P}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty}}$ (we shall call \mathbb{Q} an extension of \mathbb{P})
- 2. projection condition $\mathbb{Q}[\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t] = Z_t$

Using the multiplicative decomposition of a positive supermartingale, the problem can be written as:

Problem (*): let $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space, Λ an increasing predictable process, N a non-negative local martingale such that

 $0 < N_t e^{-\Lambda_t} \le 1$

Construct, on the canonical extended space $(\Omega \times [0, \infty])$, a probability \mathbb{Q} such that

- 1. restriction condition $\mathbb{Q}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty}} = \mathbb{P}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty}}$
- 2. projection condition $\mathbb{Q}[\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t] = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$

We recall that τ is the canonical map. We shall note $\mathbb{P}(X) := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(X)$.

Föllmer's measure

One may think that a solution of the problem (\star) is given by the Föllmer measure associated with Z, defined as

$$\mathbb{Q}^{\mathsf{F}}[F] = \mathbb{P}[\int_0^\infty F(s, \cdot) Z_s d\Lambda_s], \ F \in \mathcal{B}[0, \infty] \otimes \mathcal{F}_\infty.$$

which satisfies the projection condition.

In order to be a solution of the problem (\star) , \mathbb{Q}^{F} must be an extension of \mathbb{P} , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = \mathbb{Q}^{\mathsf{F}}[A] = \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{I}_A \int_0^\infty Z_s d\Lambda_s], \ A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty.$$

This is equivalent to the condition: $\int_0^\infty Z_s d\Lambda_s \equiv 1$. The last condition combined with the assumption $Z_\infty = 0$ implies, from the Doob-Meyer decomposition of Zwritten in differential form as $dZ_t = e^{-\Lambda_t} dN_t - Z_t d\Lambda_t$:

$$Z_t = \mathbb{P}\left[\int_0^\infty Z_s d\Lambda_s | \mathcal{F}_t\right] - \int_0^t Z_s d\Lambda_s = 1 - \int_0^t Z_s d\Lambda_s$$

i.e., $Z_t = e^{-\Lambda_t}$

Particular case: $Z = e^{-\Lambda}$.

In that case a solution (the Cox solution) is

 $\tau = \inf\{t : \Lambda_t \ge \Theta\}$

where Θ is a random variable with unit exponential law, independent of \mathcal{F}_{∞} , or in other words $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}^C$ where, for $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$:

$$\mathbb{Q}^{C}(A \cap \{s < \tau \leq t\}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\Lambda_{u}} d\Lambda_{u}\right)$$

so that

$$\mathbb{Q}^C(\tau > \theta | \mathcal{F}_t) = e^{-\Lambda_{\theta}}, \text{ for } t \ge \theta$$

Jeanblanc, M. and Song, S. (2010)

Explicit Model of Default Time with given Survival Probability. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*

Default times with given survival probability and their \mathbb{F} -martingale decomposition formula. Stochastic Processes and their Applications

Nikeghbali, A. and Yor, M. (2006) Doob's maximal identity, multiplicative decompositions and enlargements of filtrations, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 50, 791-814. In that paper, given a supermartingale of the form $Z_t = \frac{N_t}{\sup_{s \le t} N_s}$ where N is a continuous local martingale which goes to 0 at infinity, the authors show that $\mathbb{P}(g > t | \mathcal{F}_t) = Z_t$, where $g = \sup\{t : Z_t = 1\}$.

Li, L. and Rutkowski, M. (2010) Constructing Random Times Through Multiplicative Systems, Preprint.

In that paper, the authors give a solution to the problem (*), based on Meyer,
P.A. (1967): On the multiplicative decomposition of positive supermartingales.
In: Markov Processes and Potential Theory, J. Chover, ed., J. Wiley, New York, pp. 103–116.

Outline of the talk

- Increasing families of martingales
- Semi-martingale decompositions
- Predictable Representation Theorem
- Exemple

The link between the supermartingale Z and the conditional law $\mathbb{Q}(\tau \in du | \mathcal{F}_t)$ for $u \leq t$ is: Let $M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau \leq u | \mathcal{F}_t)$, then M is increasing w.r.t. u and

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
M_u^u &=& 1 - Z_u \\
M_t^u &\leq& M_t^t = 1 - Z_t
\end{array}$$

(Note that, for t < u, $M_t^u = \mathbb{E}(1 - Z_u | \mathcal{F}_t)$).

The solution of problem (\star) is not unique, mainly because the knowledge of the survival probability $\mathbb{Q}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t)$ does not contain enough information to reconstruct the whole conditional law, i.e. $\mathbb{Q}(\tau \in du | \mathcal{F}_t)$.

Solving the problem (\star) is equivalent to find a family M^u

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Family iM_Z

An increasing family of positive martingales bounded by 1 - Z (in short iM_Z) is a family of processes $(M^u : 0 < u < \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- 1. Each M^u is a càdlàg \mathbb{P} - \mathbb{F} martingale on $[u, \infty]$.
- 2. For any u, the martingale M^u is positive and closed by $M^u_{\infty} = \lim_{t \to \infty} M^u_t$.
- 3. For each fixed $t, 0 < t \le \infty, u \in [0, t] \to M_t^u$ is a right continuous increasing map.

4. $M_u^u = 1 - Z_u$ and $M_t^u \le M_t^t = 1 - Z_t$ for $u \le t \le \infty$.

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Given an iM_Z , let $d_u M_\infty^u$ be the random measure on $(0, \infty)$ associated with the increasing map $u \to M_\infty^u$. The following probability measure \mathbb{Q} is a solution of the problem (\star)

$$\mathbb{Q}(F) := \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{[0,\infty]} F(u,\cdot) \left(M^0_\infty \delta_0(du) + d_u M^u_\infty + (1 - M^\infty_\infty) \delta_\infty(du)\right)\right)$$

The two properties for \mathbb{Q} :

• **Restriction condition**: For $B \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$,

$$\mathbb{Q}(B) = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{I}_B \int_{[0,\infty]} (M^0_\infty \delta_0(du) + d_u M^u_\infty + (1 - M^\infty_\infty) \delta_\infty(du))\right) = \mathbb{P}[B]$$

• **Projection condition:** For $0 \le t < \infty$, $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$,

$$\mathbb{Q}[A \cap \{\tau \le t\}] = \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{I}_A M_\infty^t] = \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{I}_A M_t^t] = \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{I}_A (1 - Z_t)]$$

are satisfied.

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Notice that the advantage to consider an unknown iM_Z instead of an unknown \mathbb{Q} is that iM_Z is a process which can be constructed on the initial space $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$, while \mathbb{Q} is probability on an unknown space.

A solution of the (*)-problem exists if and only if an iM_Z exists.

Constructions of iM_Z

Hypothesis (\clubsuit)

- 1. $Z_0 = 1$ and Λ is continuous.
- 2. For all $0 < t < \infty$, $0 \le Z_t < 1, 0 \le Z_{t-} < 1$ (strictly smaller than 1).

The simplest iM_Z

Assume conditions (\bigstar) . The family

$$M_t^u := (1 - Z_t) \exp\left(-\int_u^t \frac{Z_s}{1 - Z_s} d\Lambda_s\right) \quad 0 < u < \infty, u \le t \le \infty,$$

defines an iM_Z , called **basic solution**. We note that

$$dM_t^u = -M_{t-}^u \frac{e^{-\Lambda_t}}{1 - Z_{t-}} dN_t, \ 0 < u \le t < \infty.$$

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

It follows that, for $A \in \mathcal{B}([t, \infty[)$

$$\mathbb{Q}(\tau \in A | \mathcal{F}_t) = \int_A Z_s E_t(s) d\Lambda_s$$

where $E_t(s) := \frac{1-Z_t}{1-Z_s} \exp{-\int_s^t \frac{Z_u}{1-Z_u} d\Lambda_u}.$

Note that $M_t^u = m_t A_u$ where m is a martingale and A increasing.

Other solutions Let us recall that, to construct an iM_Z , we should respect four constraints :

i. $M_u^u = (1 - Z_u)$ ii. $0 \le M^u$ iii. $M^u \le 1 - Z$ iv. $M^u \le M^v$ for u < v

These constraints are particularly "easy" to handle if M^u are solutions of a SDE: The constraint *i* indicates the initial condition;

the constraint ii means that we must take an exponential SDE; the constraint iv is a comparison theorem for one dimensional SDE, the constraint iii can be handled by local time as described in the following result :

Let m be a (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F}) -local martingale such that $m_u \leq 1 - Z_u$. Then, $m_t \leq (1 - Z_t)$ on $t \in [u, \infty)$ if and only if the local time at zero of m - (1 - Z) on $[u, \infty)$ is identically null.

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Generating equation when 1 - Z > 0

Hypothesis ($\bigstar \bigstar$):

- 1. $Z_0 = 1$ and Λ is continuous.
- 2. For all $0 < t < \infty$, $0 \le Z_t < 1, 0 \le Z_{t-} < 1$.
- 3. All \mathbb{P} - \mathbb{F} martingales are continuous.

Assume $(\bigstar \bigstar)$. Let Y be a (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F}) local martingale and f be a bounded Lipschitz function with f(0) = 0. For any $0 \le u < \infty$, we consider the equation

$$(\natural_u) \begin{cases} dX_t = X_t \left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_t}}{1-Z_t} dN_t + f(X_t - (1-Z_t)) dY_t \right), \ u \le t < \infty \\ X_u = x \end{cases}$$

 $M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$

Generating equation when 1 - Z > 0

Hypothesis ($\bigstar \bigstar$):

- 1. $Z_0 = 1$ and Λ is continuous.
- 2. For all $0 < t < \infty$, $0 \le Z_t < 1, 0 \le Z_{t-} < 1$ (strictly smaller than 1).
- 3. All \mathbb{P} - \mathbb{F} martingales are continuous.

Assume $(\bigstar \bigstar)$. Let Y be a (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F}) local martingale and f be a bounded Lipschitz function with f(0) = 0. For any $0 \le u < \infty$, we consider the equation

$$(\natural_u) \begin{cases} dX_t = X_t \left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_t}}{1-Z_t} dN_t + f(X_t - (1-Z_t)) dY_t \right), \ u \le t < \infty \\ X_u = x \end{cases}$$

Let M^u be the solution on $[u, \infty)$ of the equation (\natural_u) with initial condition $M_u^u = 1 - Z_u$. Then, $(M^u, u \le t < \infty)$ defines an iM_Z .

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

A remark

Our method remains valid if in SDE(\natural) $dM_t = M_t \left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_t}}{1-Z_t} dN_t + f(M_t - (1-Z_t)) dY_t \right)$, the term $f(M_t - (1-Z_t))$ is replaced by some more general function $f(M_t - (1-Z_t), M_t, t, \omega)$ such that

$$|f(M_t - (1 - Z_t), M_t, t, \omega)| \le K |M_t - (1 - Z_t)|$$

\mathbf{Proof}

• Inequality $M^u \leq 1 - Z$ on $[u, \infty)$ is satisfied if the local time of $\Delta = M^u - (1 - Z)$ at zero is null. This is the consequence of the following estimation:

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle \Delta \rangle_t &= \Delta_t^2 \left(\frac{e^{-\Lambda_t}}{1 - Z_t} \right)^2 d\langle N \rangle_t + M_t^2 f^2(\Delta_t) d\langle Y \rangle_t - 2\Delta_t \frac{e^{-\Lambda_t}}{1 - Z_t} M_t f(\Delta_t) d\langle N, Y \rangle_t \\ &\leq 2\Delta_t^2 \left(\frac{e^{-\Lambda_t}}{1 - Z_t} \right)^2 d\langle N \rangle_t + 2M_t^2 f^2(\Delta_t) d\langle Y \rangle_t \\ &\leq 2\Delta_t^2 \left(\frac{e^{-\Lambda_t}}{1 - Z_t} \right)^2 d\langle N \rangle_t + 2M_t^2 K^2 \Delta_t^2 d\langle Y \rangle_t \end{aligned}$$

From this, we can write

$$\int_0^t \mathbb{I}_{\{0 < \Delta_s < \epsilon\}} \frac{1}{\Delta_s^2} d\langle \Delta \rangle_s < \infty, \ 0 < \epsilon, 0 < t < \infty$$

and get the result according to Revuz-Yor.

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

• Inequality $M^u \leq M^v$ on $[v, \infty)$ when u < v. The comparison theorem holds for $SDE(\natural)$. We note also that M^u and M^v satisfy the same $SDE(\natural)$ on $[v, \infty)$. So, since $M_v^u \leq (1 - Z_v) = M_v^v$, $M_t^u \leq M_t^v$ for all $t \in [v, \infty)$.

Comments: in the case N = 1, one obtains

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = X_t \left(f(X_t - (1 - Z_t)) dY_t \right), \ u \le t < \infty \\ X_u = x \end{cases}$$

In that case, the Azema supermartingale is decreasing. This is a caracterization of pseudo-stopping times (i.e. times such that, for any BOUNDED \mathbb{F} martingale m, one has

$$\mathbb{E}(m_{\tau}) = m_0$$

Balayage formula when 1 - Z can reach zero

We introduce $\mathcal{Z} = \{s : 1 - Z_s = 0\}$ and, for $t \in (0, \infty)$, the random time

 $g_t := \sup\{0 \le s \le t : s \in \mathcal{Z}\}$

Hypothesis(\mathcal{Z}) The set \mathcal{Z} is not empty and is closed. The measure $d\Lambda$ has a decomposition $d\Lambda_s = dV_s + dA_s$ where V, A are continuous increasing processes such that dV charges only \mathcal{Z} while dA charges its complementary \mathcal{Z}^c .

Moreover, we suppose

$$\mathbb{I}_{\{g_t \le u < t\}} \int_u^t \frac{Z_s}{1 - Z_s} dA_s < \infty$$

for any $0 < u < t < \infty$.

We suppose that Λ is continuous, $Z_0 = 1$ and $\mathbf{Hy}(\mathcal{Z})$. We introduce

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{I}_{\{g_t \le u\}} \exp\left(-\int_u^t \frac{Z_s}{1 - Z_s} dA_s\right) (1 - Z_t), \ 0 < u < \infty, u \le t \le \infty.$$

The family $(M^u : 0 \le u < \infty)$ defines an iM_Z . Moreover, for $0 < u \le t \le \infty$

$$M_t^u = (1 - Z_u) - \int_u^t \mathbb{I}_{\{g_s \le u\}} \exp\left(-\int_u^s \frac{Z_v}{1 - Z_v} dA_v\right) e^{-\Lambda_s} dN_s$$

Proof indication

(Balayage Formula.) Let Y be a continuous semi-martingale and define

$$g_t = \sup\{s \le t : Y_s = 0\},$$

with the convention $\sup\{\emptyset\} = 0$. Then

$$h_{g_t} Y_t = h_0 Y_0 + \int_0^t h_{g_s} dY_s$$

for every predictable, locally bounded process h.

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

We need only to prove that each M^u satisfies the above equation, and therefore, that M^u is a local P-F martingale. Let

$$E_t^u = \exp\left(-\int_u^t \frac{Z_s}{1 - Z_s} dA_s\right)$$

Then,

$$d\left(E_t^u(1-Z_t)\right) = E_t^u\left(-e^{-\Lambda_t}dN_t + Z_tdV_t\right)$$

We apply the balayage formula and we obtain

$$M_{t}^{u} = \mathbb{I}_{\{g_{t} \leq u\}} E_{t}^{u} (1 - Z_{t})$$

= $\mathbb{I}_{\{g_{t} \leq u\}} (1 - Z_{u}) + \int_{u}^{t} \mathbb{I}_{\{g_{s} \leq u\}} E_{s}^{u} \left(-e^{-\Lambda_{s}} dN_{s} + Z_{s} dV_{s}\right)$
= $(1 - Z_{u}) - \int_{u}^{t} \mathbb{I}_{\{g_{s} \leq u\}} E_{s}^{u} e^{-\Lambda_{s}} dN_{s}$

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Enlargement of filtration problem solved by SDE

Here we study in particular the enlargement of filtration problem.

- \mathbb{G} is a progressive enlargement of \mathbb{F} .
- The F-local martingales remain always G-semimartingales on the interval $[0, \tau]$. whose semimartingale decomposition formula is given in Jeulin.
- The F-local martingales' behaviour on the interval $[\tau, \infty)$ in the filtration \mathbb{G} depends on the model.

Semimartingale decomposition formula for the models constructed with $SDE(\natural)$, in the case 1 - Z > 0

We suppose

• $\mathbf{Hy}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H})$ and $Z_{\infty} = 0$

• for each $0 \le t \le \infty$, the map $u \to M_t^u$ is continuous on [0, t], where M^u is solution of the generating equation (\natural): $0 \le u < \infty$,

$$(\natural_u) \begin{cases} dM_t = M_t \left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_t}}{1-Z_t} dN_t + f(M_t - (1-Z_t)) dY_t \right), \ u \le t < \infty \\ M_u = 1 - Z_u \end{cases}$$

We prove that, for our models, the hypothesis (\mathcal{H}') holds between \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} and we obtain semimartingale decomposition formula.

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Let \mathbb{Q} be the probability on the product space $[0, \infty] \otimes \Omega$ associated with the iM_Z Let X be a \mathbb{P} - \mathbb{F} local martingale. Then the process

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{X}_t &= X_t - \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{s \le \tau\}} \frac{e^{-\Lambda_s}}{Z_s} d\langle N, X \rangle_s + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < s\}} \frac{e^{-\Lambda_s}}{1 - Z_s} d\langle N, X \rangle_s \\ &- \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < s\}} (f(M_s^\tau - (1 - Z_s)) + M_s^\tau f'(M_s^\tau - (1 - Z_s))) d\langle Y, X \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -G-local martingale.

Sketch of the proof:

We compute directly the expectations

$$\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{1}_A\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \le u\}}(X_{\tau \land t} - X_{\tau \land s})], A \in \mathcal{F}_s, 0 \le u < \infty.$$

Let $0 \le a < b \le s < t$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}\mathbbm{1}_{\{a < \tau \le b\}}(X_{t} - X_{s})] \\ &= \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}(M_{\infty}^{b} - M_{\infty}^{a})(X_{t} - X_{s})] \\ &= \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}\int_{s}^{t}\frac{(-1)e^{-\Lambda_{r}}}{1 - Z_{r}}(M_{r}^{b} - M_{r}^{a})\,d\langle N, X\rangle_{r}] \\ &+ \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}\int_{s}^{t}\left(M_{r}^{b}f(M_{r}^{b} - (1 - Z_{r})) - M_{r}^{a}f(M_{r}^{a} - (1 - Z_{r}))\right)d\langle Y, X\rangle_{r}] \end{aligned}$$

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Compute separately the two terms in the right-hand side. Firstly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_A \int_s^t \left(M_r^b f(M_r^b - (1 - Z_r)) \right) d\langle Y, X \rangle_r] \\ &= \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_A \int_s^t \frac{(-1)e^{-\Lambda_r}}{1 - Z_r} (M_\infty^b - M_\infty^a) d\langle N, X \rangle_r] \\ &= \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_A \mathbbm{1}_{\{a < \tau \le b\}} \int_s^t (-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_r}}{1 - Z_r}) d\langle N, X \rangle_r] \end{aligned}$$

For the second term

$$\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{1}_{A} \int_{s}^{t} \left(M_{r}^{b} f(M_{r}^{b} - (1 - Z_{r})) - M_{r}^{a} f(M_{r}^{a} - (1 - Z_{r})) \right) d\langle Y, X \rangle_{r}]$$

$$= \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{1}_{A} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{a}^{b} (f(M_{r}^{v} - (1 - Z_{r})) + M_{r}^{v} f'(M_{r}^{v} - (1 - Z_{r}))) d_{v} M_{r}^{v} d\langle Y, X \rangle_{r}]$$

$$= \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{1}_{A} \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{\{a < \tau \le b\}} (f(M_{r}^{\tau} - (1 - Z_{r})) + M_{r}^{\tau} f'(M_{r}^{\tau} - (1 - Z_{r}))) d\langle Y, X \rangle_{r}]$$

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

It is now easy to deduce the semimartingale decomposition formula from this computation.

Semimartingale decomposition formula for the model constructed with the balayage formula, the case of eventual 1 - Z = 0

We suppose that Λ is continuous, $Z_0 = 1$ and $\mathbf{Hy}(\mathcal{Z})$. We consider the iM_Z constructed above and its associated probability measure \mathbb{Q} on $[0, \infty] \times \Omega$. Let $g = \lim_{t \to \infty} g_t$.

Let X be a (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F}) -local martingale. Then

$$X_t - \int_0^t 1\!\!1_{\{s \le g \lor \tau\}} \frac{e^{-\Lambda_s}}{Z_{s-}} d\langle N, X \rangle_s + \int_0^t 1\!\!1_{\{g \lor \tau < s\}} \frac{e^{-\Lambda_s} d\langle N, X \rangle_s}{1 - Z_{s-}}, \ 0 \le t < \infty,$$

is a (\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{G}) -local martingale.

It is noted that the above formula has the same form as the formula for honest time, whilst $g \vee \tau$ is not a honest time in the filtration \mathbb{F} .

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Proof with the SDE

The theorem can be proved in quite the same way as in the preceding theorem, except some precaution on the zeros of 1 - Z. Recall that the elements in iM_Z satisfy the equation:

$$M_t^u = (1 - Z_u) - \int_u^t \mathbb{I}_{\{g_s \le u\}} E_s^u e^{-\Lambda_s} dN_s, \ u \le t < \infty.$$

Let $0 \le a < b \le s < t$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$. Put aside the integrability question. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}\mathbbm{1}_{\{a < g \lor \tau \le b\}}(X_{t} - X_{s})] = \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}(M_{\infty}^{b} - M_{\infty}^{a})(X_{t} - X_{s})] \\ &= \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}\int_{s}^{t}\mathbbm{1}_{\{g_{r} \le b\}}E_{r}^{b}(-e^{-\Lambda_{r}})d\langle N, X\rangle_{r}] - \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}\int_{s}^{t}\mathbbm{1}_{\{g_{r} \le a\}}E_{r}^{a}(-e^{-\Lambda_{r}})d\langle N, X\rangle_{r}] \\ &= \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}\mathbbm{1}_{\{g \lor \tau \le b\}}\int_{s}^{t}\frac{(-e^{-\Lambda_{r}})}{1 - Z_{r}}d\langle N, X\rangle_{r}] - \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}\mathbbm{1}_{\{g \lor \tau \le a\}}\int_{s}^{t}\frac{(-e^{-\Lambda_{r}})}{1 - Z_{r}}d\langle N, X\rangle_{r}] \\ &= \mathbb{Q}[\mathbbm{1}_{A}\mathbbm{1}_{\{a < g \lor \tau \le b\}}\int_{s}^{t}\frac{(-e^{-\Lambda_{r}})}{1 - Z_{r}}d\langle N, X\rangle_{r}] \end{aligned}$$

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Predictable Representation Property

Assume $\bigstar\bigstar$ and that

- 1. there exists an (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F}) -martingale m which admits the (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F}) -Predictable Representation Property
- 2. The martingales N and Y are orthogonal

Let \tilde{m} be the (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{G}) -martingale part of the (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{G}) -semimartingale m. Then, (\tilde{m}, M) enjoys the (\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{G}) -Predictable Representation Property where $M_t = \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t} - \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau}$.

Example

Let φ is the standard Gaussian density and Φ the Gaussian cumulative function, \mathbb{F} generated by a Brownian motion B.

Let $X = \int_0^\infty f(s) dB_s$ where f is a deterministic, square-integrable function and $Y = \psi(X)$ where ψ is a positive and strictly increasing function. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \le u | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{P}\left(\int_t^\infty f(s) dB_s \le \psi^{-1}(u) - m_t | \mathcal{F}_t\right)$$

where $m_t = \int_0^t f(s) dB_s$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable. It follows that

$$M_t^u := \mathbb{P}(Y \le u | \mathcal{F}_t) = \Phi\left(\frac{\psi^{-1}(u) - m_t}{\sigma(t)}\right)$$

The family M_t^u is then a family of iM_Z martingales which satisfies

$$dM_t^u = -\varphi \left(\Phi^{-1}(M_t^u) \right) \frac{f(t)}{\sigma(t)} dB_t$$

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Example

The multiplicative decomposition of $Z_t = N_t \exp\left(-\int_0^t \lambda_s ds\right)$ where

$$dN_t = N_t \frac{\varphi(Y_t)}{\sigma(t)\Phi(Y_t)} dm_t, \quad \lambda_t = \frac{h'(t)\varphi(Y_t)}{\sigma(t)\Phi(Y_t)}$$
$$Y_t = \frac{m_t - \psi^{-1}(t)}{\sigma(t)}$$

The basic martingale satisfies

$$dM_t^u = -M_t^u \frac{f(t)\varphi(Y_t)}{\sigma(t)\Phi(-Y_t)} dB_t.$$

$$M_t^u = \mathbb{Q}(\tau < u | \mathcal{F}_t), \quad Z_t = 1 - M_t^t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

Open question: Is it possible to characterize supermarting ales Z so that τ can be constructed on Ω Gapeev, P. V., Jeanblanc, M., Li, L., and Rutkowski, M. (2009): Constructing Random Times with Given Survival Processes and Applications to Valuation of Credit Derivatives. Forthcoming in: *Contemporary Quantitative Finance* Springer-Verlag 2010.

In that paper, the probability \mathbb{Q} is constructed as a probability measure equivalent to the solution of Cox model \mathbb{Q}^C on $[0,\infty] \times \Omega$ associated with Λ . Define

$$d\mathbb{Q}|_{\mathcal{G}_t} = L_t d\mathbb{Q}^C|_{\mathcal{G}_t}, \ 0 \le t < \infty$$

where $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(\tau \wedge t)$ and $L_t = \ell_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} + L_t(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}$. If L satisfies

$$\ell_t = N_t,$$
(L): $N_t e^{-\Lambda_t} + \int_0^t L_t(s) e^{-\Lambda_s} d\Lambda_s = 1, \ 0 \le t < \infty$

where, for any s, the process $(L_t(s), t \ge s)$ is an **F-martingale** satisfying $L_s(s) = N_s$, then, \mathbb{Q} is a solution of the problem (\star).

Conditions: find $L_t = \ell_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} + L_t(\tau, \cdot) \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}$ such that

where $(L_t(s), t \ge s)$ is an \mathbb{F} -martingale satisfying $L_s(s) = N_s$.

- The form of L is a general form for \mathbb{G} -adapted processes
- The condition on martingality of $L_t(s), t \ge s$ is to ensure that L is a \mathbb{G} -martingale
- The condition $L_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} = N_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau}$ is stated to satisfy the **projection condition**
- The condition (L) is needed to satisfy the restriction condition (and implies that L is a \mathbb{G} -martingale).

In fact, the process $L_t = \ell_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} + L_t(\tau, \cdot) \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}$ is a G local martingale iff $L_t(s), t \geq s$ and $\mathbb{E}(L_t | \mathcal{F}_t)$ are F-martingales. To solve (L) the idea is to find X and Y so that $L_t(s) = X_t Y_s$ and $N_t = X_t Y_s$ Conditions: find $L_t = \ell_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} + L_t(\tau, \cdot) \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_t &= N_t, \\ (\mathbf{L}): \quad N_t e^{-\Lambda_t} + \int_0^t L_t(s, \cdot) e^{-\Lambda_s} d\Lambda_s &= 1, \ 0 \leq t < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

where $(L_t(s), t \ge s)$ is an \mathbb{F} -martingale satisfying $L_s(s) = N_s$.

- \bullet The form of L is a general form for $\mathbb G\text{-adapted}$ processes
- The condition on martingality of $L_t(s), t \ge s$ is to ensure that L is a \mathbb{G} -martingale
- The condition $L_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} = N_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau}$ is stated to satisfy the **projection condition**
- The condition (L) is needed to satisfy the restriction condition (and implies that L is a \mathbb{G} -martingale).

In fact, the process $L_t = \ell_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} + L_t(\tau, \cdot) \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}$ is a \mathbb{G} local martingale iff $\mathbb{E}(L_t | \mathcal{F}_t)$ and for any $s, L_t(s), t \geq s$ are \mathbb{F} -martingales.

To solve (L) the idea is to find X and Y so that $L_t(s) = X_t Y_s$ and $N_t = X_t Y_t$.

Conditions: find $L_t = \ell_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} + L_t(\tau, \cdot) \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}$ such that

$$\ell_t = N_t,$$

(L): $N_t e^{-\Lambda_t} + \int_0^t L_t(s, \cdot) e^{-\Lambda_s} d\Lambda_s = 1, \ 0 \le t < \infty.$

where $(L_t(s), t \ge s)$ is an \mathbb{F} -martingale satisfying $L_s(s) = N_s$.

- \bullet The form of L is a general form for $\mathbb G\text{-adapted}$ processes
- The condition on martingality of $L_t(s), t \ge s$ is to ensure that L is a \mathbb{G} -martingale
- The condition $L_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} = N_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau}$ is stated to satisfy the **projection condition**
- The condition (L) is needed to satisfy the restriction condition (and implies that L is a \mathbb{G} -martingale).

In fact, the process $L_t = \ell_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} + L_t(\tau, \cdot) \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}$ is a \mathbb{G} local martingale iff $\mathbb{E}(L_t | \mathcal{F}_t)$ and for any $s, L_t(s), t \geq s$ are \mathbb{F} -martingales.

To solve (L) the idea is to find X and Y so that $L_t(s) = X_t Y_s$ and $N_t = X_t Y_t$.

Begin at the beginning, and go on till you come to the end. Then, stop. Lewis Carroll, Alice's Adventures in Wonderland

This should be the end of the [talk], but not the end of research

St Augustin

Begin at the beginning, and go on till you come to the end. Then, stop. Lewis Carroll, Alice's Adventures in Wonderland

This should be the end of the [talk], but not the end of research St Augustin

Thank you for your attention